Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Epoxy Resin Systems

Aidan Glaser Schoff: Vassar College Tzu Hsien Tan: CityU, Hong Kong

Mentor: Dr. Crosby: UTK

Aug 1, 2019

Epoxy Resins

- Epoxy resins are a class of thermoset polymers.
- The epoxy resins can cross-link with amine hardeners, producing cured resins.
- Applications: adhesives, coatings, encapsulates, casting materials, etc.



Basic chemical structure of epoxy group M. A. Boyle, et al. (2001).



https://images-fibreglastcom.s3.amazonaws.com/pioresized/750/System%202000%20Laminating%20 Epoxy%20Resin-2.jpg

Advantages of Computational Experiments

- Lack of materials costs
- Easier to alter experiments
- Growth of supercomputing access / power has helped make experiments have reasonable run time

Cons of Computational Experiments

- Reliant on experimental results for validation
- Easy to simulate unphysical situations
- Reaction mechanism is not carried out as it would be in a physical system

PRO-SET® M1002 Resin (Epoxy) and M2046 Hardener (Amine) MSDS and Sigma Aldrich



Propane, 2,2-bis[p-(2,3epoxypropoxy)phenyl [BADGE] (70-100%)



Benzenamine-formaldehyde polymer [Aniline formaldehyde] (15-35%)



4, 4'-Methylenebiscyclohexanamine [Methylene-BCHA] (10-30%)



Phenol-formaldehyde polymer glycidyl ether [PDGE formaldehyde] (10-20%)



Polyoxyropylenediamine [POPDA] (10-30%)



Isophoronediamine [IPDA] (10-30%)

Binary System 1



Propane, 2,2-bis[p-(2,3-Epoxypropoxy)phenyl [BADGE] Isophoronediamine [IPDA]





Isophoronediamine [IPDA]

Diglycidyl ether of 1,4-butanediol (DGEBD)

Crosslinking Reaction



 $crosslinking \ percentage = 1 - \frac{noncrosslinked \ carbon \ radicals}{total \ potential \ crosslinks}$

Activated molecules





Encode Atoms (Parameterization)

- First letter is atomic element
 - CG321 -> Carbon
- Letters and numbers after refer to specific types of each atom.
 CG321 -> G321 type of Carbon
- Other encoding includes partial charge
 - CG321Z0.06 -> Charge of 0.06
 - CG321Z-0.06-> Charge of -0.06







Building Molecules in VMD



74 Molefacture - Molecule Builder — 🗆 🗙														×
<u>F</u> ile <u>B</u> uil	d <u>S</u> e	ttings	<u>S</u> im	ulations									<u>H</u> e	lp
Atoms Ass Ass Get Index Name 0 C Rep 2 C New 3 H Pro 4 C C Add hydrogen to Invert chirality Raise oxidatio	ign atom & b ign charges imetry optimi all hydrogen v molecule fro tein Builder selected ator Force pl n state Edit select	ond types zation with s en with fra om fragm 0.0000 m D anar D Low cted atom	gment > ent > eent > belete Sel Force 1 ver oxidat	Add custom Reset menu Amino Butyl Carboxyl Carboxylate Chloride Cycloheptane Cyclooctane		Atom1 Atom2 Order 0 1 1.0 0 2 2.0 0 10 1.0 2 3 1.0 2 4 1.0 4 5 1.0 4 6 2.0 e bond dihedral: -180.0 Move: ⓒ Grou				Adjust bond length: 0.00				
Molecule Edit segname/resname/chain Total charge: 0 Modify charge				Epoxide Formyl Furan (C1) Furan (C2) Hydroxyl Indole Methyl Nitrile Nitro	ļ	Adjust angle: Atom2 Atom3 0 2 0 10 0 30 60 90 120 150 180 2 3 2 4 C Group1 (* Group2 (* Both								

Humphrey, W., et al. (1996).

Building Molecules in VMD



By repeatedly replacing the atoms with functional groups, we can build complicated molecular structure.

Humphrey, W., et al. (1996).

Molecular Structure Optimization

- We adopted the NWChem program to perform structural geometry optimization.
- The optimization is based on density functional theory (DFT), which is a quantum mechanical method widely used in computational chemistry.
- Through the optimization, the molecules will become more stable.



Molecular Structure Optimization





Unoptimized PDGE

Optimized PDGE

Pack molecules into a box



We built a simulation box with Packmol program.

Crosslinking reaction will be conducted within the box.

Periodic boundary condition will be applied in the simulation.

Humphrey, W., et al. (1996).

Periodic Boundary Condition



Up to now, it's still not realistic for the computer to simulate a system containing atoms on the order of Avogadro's number (6.02×10^{23}).

With periodic boundary condition, we can "enlarge" the small simulation box.

LAMMPS

- Large-Scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator
- Customizable to a wide variety of experiments and fields of study



S. Plimpton. (1995).

Simulation Procedure

1fs = 10⁻¹⁵s; 1ps = 10⁻¹²s

- 1. Set the length of a timestep to be 0.5fs.
- 2. Perform energy minimization on the simulation box.
- 3. Equilibrate the system at 800 Kelvin, 1 atm, for 100 ps in canonical (NVT) ensemble followed by 400ps in isothermal–isobaric (NPT) ensemble.
- Cool down the system from 800K to 10K with an interval of 10K. At each temperature, run 10 ps of NVT ensemble followed by 40ps of NPT ensemble. The volume is averaged over the last 2.5ps of the NPT simulation.

Issues Faced in LAMMPS

- Mistyping/ Improper reading of input data types
- Atom Bonds not on processor 3





Allen, M. P. (2004).

Cooling Down the System



Results: BADGE-IPDA



For the 90% crosslinked BADGE-IPDA system, we measured the glass transition temperature to be 524.06K (experimental value: 436K).

The thermal expansion coefficient (α) we measured in glassy state is 2.72e-4 K⁻¹, while in rubbery state is 2.06e-3 K⁻¹.

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{V} (\frac{\partial V}{\partial T})_P = (\frac{\partial ln(V)}{\partial T})_P$$



As for the 90% crosslinked DGEBD-IPDA system, we measured the glass transition temperature to be 439.86K (experimental value: 326K).

The thermal expansion coefficient we measured in glassy state is 2.86e-4 K⁻¹, while in rubbery state is 1.28e-3 K⁻¹.

Results 9.88 9.86 Volume (In(Angstrom³)) 9.84 9.82 9.80 9.78 9.76 9.74 50 150 200 650 100 250 500 550 600 Temperature (Kelvin)

Why cooling down the system?

At low temperature, the kinetic energy of molecules is low.

The system needs more time to reach the equilibrium.

For heating up the system from low temperature, if the simulation time is short, we will obtain <u>incorrect</u> <u>results</u>!

Results: Crosslinking percentage v.s. T_g



The effect of crosslinking percentage on glass transition temperature of the BADGE-IPDA system.

Results: Crosslinking percentage v.s. α



In glassy state

In rubbery state

Future Work

Use the methods developed over the course of the summer to work on systems that have unknown properties.

Find the reason why the glass transition temperatures are larger than the experimental measurements.

Use larger volumes of the same system to see if results are replicable for computations involving a larger system.

Investigate CGenFF in depth: Automatic Atom Typing Software.

References

- Allen, M. P. (2004). Introduction to Molecular Dynamics Simulation.
- Epoxy Resins picture. Retrieved from https://images-fibreglast-com.s3.amazonaws.com/pio-resized/750/System%202000%20Laminating%20Epoxy%20Resin-2.jpg
- Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. and Schulten, K., "VMD Visual Molecular Dynamics", J. Molec. Graphics, 1996, vol. 14, pp. 33 38.
- L. Martínez, R. Andrade, E. G. Birgin, J. M. Martínez. Packmol: A package for building initial configurations for molecular dynamics simulations. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 30(13):2157-2164, 2009.
- M1002/M2046 Technical Data. Retrieved from http://www.prosetepoxy.com/wp-content/uploads/M1002-M2046_Toughened-Laminating-Epoxy.pdf
- M. A. Boyle, C. J. Martin, J. D. Neuner, "Epoxy Resins", *ASM Handbook, Volume 21: Composites.* 78-89 (2001).
- M. Valiev, E.J. Bylaska, N. Govind, K. Kowalski, T.P. Straatsma, H.J.J. van Dam, D. Wang, J. Nieplocha, E. Apra, T.L. Windus, W.A. de Jong, "NWChem: a comprehensive and scalable open-source solution for large scale molecular simulations" *Comput. Phys. Commun*. 181, 1477 (2010).
- S. Plimpton, Fast Parallel Algorithms for Short-Range Molecular Dynamics, *J Comp Phys*, 117, 1-19 (1995).
- Vanommeslaeghe, K., Hatcher, E., Acharya, C., Kundu, S., Zhong, S., Shim, J., ... Mackerell, A. D., Jr (2010). CHARMM general force field: A force field for drug-like molecules compatible with the CHARMM all-atom additive biological force fields. *Journal of computational chemistry*, *31*(4), 671–690. doi:10.1002/jcc.21367